

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Dye-Based Fluorescent Organic Nanoparticles, New Promising Tools for Optogenetics

Jérémy Lesas, Thomas C.M. Bienvenu, Eleonore Kurek, Jean-Baptiste Verlhac, Zoé Grivet, Maude Têtu, Delphine Girard, Frédéric Lanore, Mireille Blanchard-Desce, Cyril Herry, Jonathan Daniel,* and Cyril Dejean*

Dye-based fluorescent organic nanoparticles are a specific class of nanoparticles obtained by nanoprecipitation in water of pure dyes only. While the photophysical and colloidal properties of the nanoparticles strongly depend on the nature of the aggregated dyes, their excellent brightness in the visible and in the near infrared make these nanoparticles a unique and versatile platform for in vivo application. This article examines the promising utilization of these nanoparticles for in vivo optogenetics applications. Their photophysical properties as well as their biocompatibility and their capacity to activate Chrimson opsin in vivo through the fluorescence reabsorption process are demonstrated. Additionally, an illustrative example of employing these nanoparticles in fear reduction in mice through closed-loop stimulation is presented. Through an optogenetic methodology, the nanoparticles demonstrate an ability to selectively manipulate neurons implicated in the fear response and diminish the latter. Dye-based fluorescent organic nanoparticles represent a promising and innovative strategy for optogenetic applications, holding substantial potential in the domain of translational neuroscience. This work paves the way for novel therapeutic modalities for neurological and neuropsychiatric disorders.

disease, portable brain modulation devices remain of limited use, mainly because of their inconsistent efficacy. It is likely that inconsistent outcomes result from their inability to precisely manipulate defined functional neuronal networks. With much room for improvement, new exploratory brain stimulation trials could be enabled by an increase in spatial and temporal specificity toward functional efficiency. Today, optogenetics is one of the greatest therapeutic hopes for brain stimulation, because it allows for the focal manipulation of targeted neural circuits with great temporal precision. Its principle is based on the use of a light source to selectively modulate the activity of neurons transduced to express photosensitive ion channels (opsins). The spatio-temporal specificity of optogenetics made the technique a breakthrough in the possibility to target specific neuronal mechanisms, limiting side effects due to off-target stimulation. For instance, in preclinical animal models, recent work showed that

targeted closed-loop optogenetic manipulation of neuronal activity is a means to reduce learned fear expression in mice^[5] or alleviate epileptic seizures.^[6] Such closed-loop optogenetic strategies could enhance efficiency in treating many psychiatric (major depression, anxiety disorders, obsessive-compulsive disorder) and neurological disorders such as epilepsy or chronic pain,^[7,8] for

1. Introduction

Chronic electrical stimulation of brain areas is used in the treatment of many severe neuropsychiatric disorders.^[1–4] Although promised to become an alternative treatment of choice in several neuropsychiatric disorders, as it is already for Parkinson's

J. Lesas, Z. Grivet, M. Têtu, D. Girard, C. Herry, C. Dejean
Neurocentre Magendie, INSERM U1215
Université de Bordeaux
Bordeaux 33000, France
E-mail: cyril.dejean@inserm.fr

E. Kurek, J.-B. Verlhac, M. Blanchard-Desce, J. Daniel
Institut des Sciences Moléculaires, UMR CNRS 5255
Université de Bordeaux
Talence 33400, France
E-mail: jonathan.daniel@u-bordeaux.fr

F. Lanore
Institut Interdisciplinaire de NeuroSciences, UMR CNRS 5297
Université de Bordeaux
Bordeaux 33000, France
T. C. Bienvenu
Centre Hospitalier Charles Perrens
Pôle de Psychiatrie Générale et Universitaire
121 rue de la Bécade
Bordeaux 33076, France

The ORCID identification number(s) for the author(s) of this article can be found under <https://doi.org/10.1002/adhm.202402132>

© 2024 The Author(s). Advanced Healthcare Materials published by Wiley-VCH GmbH. This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License](#), which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

DOI: 10.1002/adhm.202402132

which first line pharmacological therapies can become ineffective or inadequate.

Current optogenetic techniques require the delivery of light to the target brain region or organ at a wavelength in the visible spectrum. While opsins can be activated by visible light only, this range of wavelength is highly scattered and attenuated in the brain, requiring the insertion of optical fibers directed at the target. There are two major issues inherent to that approach. First, such procedures come with various hazards for the subject, such as direct damage to the tissue, in situ deterioration of the fiber and foreign object immune response. Second, the stimulated area is only as large as the cone of light of the optic fiber, that has to remain of small diameter for aforementioned safety reasons. Hence, optogenetic cannot be applied to volumes as large as human potential cerebral targets. All in all, current approaches hinder the transposition of optogenetics to clinics. To increase the efficiency and topographical reach of optogenetics, an innovative methodological angle has been proposed in the addition of fluorescent nanoparticles used to circumvent light scattering in the tissue. Designed nanoparticles have been assembled with compounds able to absorb and emit photons at controlled wavelength and in a radial manner with further implementation for neuronal stimulation in rodents.^[9,10] While this approach is very effective, the inorganic compounds used so far have limited therapeutic potential as they present limitation in both colloidal stability and brightness and can make use of elements suspected to be non biocompatible following long-term decaying processes.^[11] Hence while optogenetics-nanoparticles combinations bear hopes for precision therapeutics, innovative improvements are needed to reach clinical standards, namely i) efficacy, ii) stability and iii) biocompatibility.

In that perspective, we postulate that molecular-based fluorescent organic nanoparticles (FONs) for brain stimulation represent a desirable alternative to inorganic instances. These nanoparticles are only composed of molecules or macromolecules and can hold bright fluorescence properties in water^[12] while maintaining colloidal stability. Among the broad family of FONs, the most representatives are i) nanoparticles made of polymers -e.g. doped with commercial fluorescent dyes^[13] or synthesized using fluorescent co-block polymers^[14] and ii) AIE nanoparticles (Aggregation Induced Emission) - which consist in restoring the fluorescence properties of non fluorescent dyes upon aggregation (mostly exploiting the restriction of rotation of π conjugated moieties through C-Si and C-N bonds, or E/Z double bond isomerization).^[15]

Among FONs, the class of dye-based Fluorescent Organic Nanoparticles (dFONs) offer singular optogenetic-prone properties.^[16,17] These noncrystalline dye-based nanoparticles are prepared by nanoprecipitation of pure hydrophobic polar and polarizable (i.e. sensitive to local electric fields) fluorescent dyes (PPDs) in aqueous solution. They are self-stabilized (i.e. do not require the use of surfactants nor solubilizing coating) and bear intense brightness properties competitive with the brightest inorganic nanoparticles (e.g. Quantum Dots)^[18] or fluorescent commercial dyes.^[19] Unlike AIE, the aggregation of fluorescent dyes into dFONs induces a loss in fluorescence efficiency (i.e. Aggregation-Caused Quenching or ACQ)^[17] which strongly depends on the dipole-dipole interactions between aggregated dyes.^[20] This loss in fluorescence can be limited through dedi-

cated molecular design like the use of propeller shape dyes,^[17,21] or the addition of bulky groups.^[17,19] Note that dFONs are prepared with a minimal amount of water-miscible volatile organic solvent (< 1% for dFONs whereas it can reach >10% for AIE),^[15] limiting the potential toxicity of the aqueous suspension to the sole nanoparticles. The specificity of dFONs lies in the nature of their constitutive polar and polarizable dyes, which governs the photophysical properties of dFONs and ensures their colloidal stability. The brightness of dFONs is also highly dependent on the overall size of the nanoparticle (i.e. the number of nanoconfined dyes). As such, increasing the volume of the nanoparticles will result in brighter dFONs without changing their absorption and emission spectra. In addition to be efficient bright nanoparticles,^[12] dFONs can be optimized to give access to excitation in optical windows of longer wavelength,^[17] which are more suitable for traveling in brain tissue and therefore modulate larger volumes.

The aim of this work is to combine the outlaid approaches and develop an expandable toolbox for dFONs use in biological applications, with ambitions for long-term transposition into clinical opportunities. After their assembly and characterization, we successfully used dFONs in combination with optogenetics in mice to manipulate neuronal activity in vivo without notable insults to the tissue. Strong of this proof of concept, we then achieved the manipulation of a brain function, fear expression, through a temporally and spatially focused closed-loop stimulation strategy. Our study demonstrates that the formulation of hydrophobic dyes is an effective strategy for in vivo application of photoconversion, in our case for optogenetic stimulation mediated by fluorescence reabsorption. Our study paves the way for a standard development of versatile dFONs toolboxes with various absorption-emission spectra, with hopes for clinical use.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Design of dFONS

The strategy we developed to activate opsins relies on the direct re-absorption of the fluorescence of nearby dFONs. Based on our previous work, we selected A as a dye sub-unit for dFONs because its fluorescence spectrum have a favorable overlap with the absorption spectra of the Chrimson opsin.^[22] Plus, we demonstrated that dFONs A can be excited both in the visible -by the classical excitation pathway- and in the NIR-I exploiting their high two-photon absorption properties ($\sigma_2^{max} \Phi \sim 2 \times 10^5 \text{ GM @940 nm}$),^[23] giving access to deeper excitation in tissues. Moreover, we anticipated that this spectral compatibility allows for the excitation through space of the opsin by direct re-absorption of the fluorescence of dFONs A. Yet, despite brightness with orders of magnitudes higher than endogenous (e.g. flavine mononucleotide: $\sigma_2^{max} \Phi < 0.4 \text{ GM}$)^[24] or fluorescent proteins (e.g. tdTomato: $\epsilon^{max} \Phi = 3 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1}$ and $\sigma_2^{max} \Phi = 230 \text{ GM}$),^[24,25] the efficiency of opsin activation by dFONs A could be affected by the extremely low concentration of dFONs (stock suspension $\approx \text{nm}$ range). Then depending on the fate of the dFONs in vivo (i.e. diffusion, immunogenic response, degradation, etc...), their concentration may decrease dramatically, reducing the number of emitting dFONs, and consequently, the

probability of exciting neurons expressing Chrimson. Moreover, the use of an excessively high concentration of dFONs (e.g. μM range) may raise concerns about colloidal stability of the dFONs as well as immune responses. Taking this into account, we set to optimize the brightness of dFONs rather than increase their concentration.

In order to maximize brightness, we designed bicomponent nanoparticles, where dFONs **A** are layered with another dye: such layering is named core-shell. This layering process is favored by the use of PPDs. Indeed the strong dipole-dipole electrostatic interactions between the dyes account for the structural stability of the nanoparticle. Moreover, the polar and polarizable nature of the dyes defines the surface of the dFONs, which is critical for the layering process: the large surface potentials^[18] on the core nanoparticle are a driving force that promotes electrostatic interactions with the second PPDs to form the shell layer while minimizing the surface energy.

These specific conditions, i.e. dyes are polar and polarizable with similar chemical structure and good spectral overlap, deeply modify the photophysical properties of the core.^[26,27] The close proximity of core-layer and shell-layer dyes at the nanointerface of the core-shell structure promotes energy transfers between the two entities, leading to a vanishing fluorescence of the shell dyes accompanied by a pronounced enhancement in the fluorescence of the core dyes. Another characteristic of this phenomenon known as Nano-Interface Enhanced Emission (NIEE) manifests as subtle shifts in the fluorescence of core dyes toward shorter wavelengths.^[26] In the case of dipolar triphenylamine-based push-pull dyes with fused-thiophene bridge, a 20 times enhancement of the fluorescence quantum yield has been reported.^[27] To harness the NIEE, dye **D** emerges as a promising candidate for core-shell like dFONs in conjunction with **A** as i) both dyes have similar chemical structure, ii) the emission spectrum of fluorescent dye **D** overlaps well with the absorption of **A**, and iii) **D** maintains its fluorescence properties when aggregated (Table 1), which is a key feature for efficient energy transfer. Moreover, the use of **D** to layer dFONs **A** is expected to prevent the potential degradation of **A** caused by water, particularly through retro-Knoevenagel reaction, thereby ensuring the preservation of core **A**'s fluorescence properties that is essential for long term use. While other pairs of fluorescent dyes can be used to prepare core-shell dFONs, it is crucial to recognize that some general rules should be observed to get stable core-shell dFONs with efficient NIEE. Shell and core dyes must i) share structural similarities (i.e. chemical backbone), ii) be polarizable and iii) have a sufficient spectral overlap between the emission of the shell and the absorption of the core. In addition, the nature of the shell dye will dictate the colloidal stability of the overall dFONs and should be carefully selected. For instance, dipolar push-pull dyes based on short π conjugated bridges or dyes based on octupolar shape bear poor colloidal stability,^[17] and should not be used to design shell dyes.

The bicomponent dFONs **A@D** were prepared by a two-step procedure using the so-called nanoprecipitation method (Figure 1) of hydrophobic dyes **A** and **D** in pure water. First, dFONs **A** were prepared in water, followed by the gradual addition of **D** to encourage the seeding of **D** onto dFONs **A**, rather than the formation of standalone dFONs **D**. The result was a clear and colored solution that both evidence the successful

suspension of dyes in water and suggests the presence of distinct nanoparticles in water without evidence of large aggregates. Through their brightness and overall stability, these core-shell nanoparticles offer a strong compatibility potential for biological use. In comparison, other approaches such as expanding the amount of dye per nanoparticle or decorating the surface of dFONs with polymers^[28] are problematic in biological media since they lead to larger nanoparticles, or pertain long-term stability of colloidal properties and brightness in biological serum.

Stemming from **A@D** dFONs favorable biocompatibility potential, we set to further characterize them and implement their use in a biological system.

2.2. Characterization of dFONs

2.2.1. Size Properties of dFONs

Electronic microscopy confirmed the presence of small nanoparticles in dFONs **A** and dFONs **A@D** samples, with mean dry diameters of 45 and 44 nm respectively (Figure 1). Interestingly, we found that the diameter of dFONs **A** was superior by 25% compared to what we reported earlier.^[23] This difference can be explained by the use of a sonication probe (instead of a slow magnetic stirring) in the preparation of nanoparticles which led to a different size and possibly a change in molecular packing within the nanoparticles, as suggested by electronic molecular spectroscopy (see photophysical properties of dFONs in water section). TEM histograms revealed a single population for both dFONs with a broad dispersion in size (Full Width at Half Maximum or FWHM ≈ 30 nm) typical of nanoparticles obtained by nanoprecipitation. Strikingly, the size distribution as well as the mean diameter of the bicomponent dFONs **A@D** are very close to the ones of pure dFONs **A** (Figure 1). Considering the expected quantity of dye to be layered onto the dFONs **A**, we anticipated, through calculation, an increase in size distribution of ≈ 5 –6 nm (assuming uniform packing density for both dyes). It is noteworthy that this disparity likely takes place during the layering process: as a solution of **D** in THF is added dropwise, there should be competitions between i) the seeding of **D**-forming dFONs **D**-, ii) the layering of dFONs **A**-forming dFONs **A@D**- and iii) the dissolution of the surface of dFONs **A** - due to the presence of a gradient concentration of THF, depending on the mixing conditions and diffusion coefficients of water and THF.^[29] We hypothesize that the mild dissolution of the surface of the dFONs **A** (due to the good solubility of **A** in THF) is the key parameter that rules the size of the resulting dFONs **A@D**.

2.2.2. Photophysical Properties of dFONs in Water

Absorption of aqueous dFONs **A@D** shows a large and intense band in the visible range (i.e. 400–550 nm) with a clear positive offset of the absorption band in the UV regarding the absorption spectrum of standalone dFONs **A** (Figure 2). Interestingly, we observed an overlay between the absorption spectrum of dFONs **A@D** and a “reference” absorption spectrum obtained by




Figure 1. Preparation and size of dFONs. Preparation steps of A@D dFONs A). Size distribution (with log-normal fitting curve) and image of transmission electronic microscopy of dFONs A B) and dFONs A@D (C).

mixing the two dFONs A and D (i.e. a solution with independently prepared dFONs A and dFONs D, 1:1, v/v). This overlay confirms that the hyperchromic effect (i.e. enhancement of the intensity of the absorption band) observed in the UV is mostly due to the absorption of D. This also demonstrates the presence of D (at the expected concentration) in the dFONs A@D dispersion (Figure 2).

Note that the presence of D does not prove that the dye has been precipitated over dFONs A to form bicomponent dFONs A@D. Yet, when exciting preferentially D ($\lambda^{ex} = 380$ nm), the emission of dFONs A@D reveals the presence of a single red fluorescence band ($\lambda^{em} = 500\text{--}700$ nm) peaking at 600 nm (Figure 2) without any evidence of fluorescence from D. While the emission range is closer to that of dFONs A, the fluorescence

Table 1. Photophysical properties of the dyes subunits in water and the dFONs in water.

Sample	$\varnothing^{1)}$ nm	λ_{abs}^{max} nm	$\epsilon^{max,2)}$ $M^{-1}.cm^{-1}$	$\epsilon_f^{max,2)}$ nm	λ_{em}^{max} $M^{-1}.cm^{-1}$	$\Phi_f^{3)}$	λ_{2Pabs}^{max} nm	$\sigma_2^{max,2)}$ GM	$\sigma_2\Phi_f^{max,2)}$ GM
D	—	378	3.8×10^4	3.8×10^3	509	0.10	720	150	15
A	—	450	4.5×10^4	0.6×10^3	644	0.01	720 910	210 170	3 2
A@D	—	450	4.4×10^4	1.4×10^3	600	0.03	730 890	360 170	12 6
dFONs A	45	450	1.6×10^8	2.2×10^6	644	0.01	720 910	7.8×10^6 6.3×10^6	1.1×10^5 0.9×10^5
dFONs A@D	44	450	1.6×10^6	5.3×10^6	600	0.03	730 890	13.3×10^6 6.3×10^6	4.4×10^5 2.0×10^5

¹⁾ Dry diameter measured by transmission electronic microscopy; ²⁾ For dFONs: calculated using size and density relative to water ($d = 1.0$, number of dyes per dFON = 37000); ³⁾ Fluorescence quantum yield measured using fluorescein in 0.1 M NaOH_{aq} as standard ($\Phi_f = 0.90$).




Figure 2. One-photon absorption properties of dFONs in water. Absorption A) and normalized emission D) spectra of dFONs. Native absorption of “dFONs A + dFONs D” has been corrected with dilution factor of 2. For the emission of dFONs A@D, fluorescence depends on the direct (A*: $\lambda^{ex} = 450$ nm) or indirect (D*: $\lambda^{ex} = 380$ nm) excitation. 3D excitation-emission measurements on dFONs A@D (B,C) and a solution of dFONs A with dFONs D in a ratio 1:1 (v/v, E,F). (B) and (E) represent the full 3D plot while (C) and (F) are the projection of the corresponding 3D plot on the fluorescence intensity axis.

process (i.e. Φ_f) of the bicomponent dFONs is much more probable, with a three-fold increase (Table 1). We interpret such modifications in the de-excitation (vanishing of dFONs D emission) and emission properties (enhancement of dFONs A fluorescence) as the direct consequence of energy transfer from dyes D to A in dFONs A@D thanks to NIEE effect.

To validate this interpretation, we measured 3D-excitation/emission spectra of i) dFONs A@D and ii) a mixed solution containing both pure dFONs A and pure dFONs D (1:1, V/V). As evidenced in Figure 2, red fluorescence of dFONs A@D does not come from energy exchange or reabsorption process between dFONs D and dFONs A. Moreover, excitation and emission spectra show that there are indeed 2 emitting centers: the most intense fluorescence comes from the energy transfer from D to A ($\lambda^{ex} = 380$ nm, $\lambda^{em} = 600$ nm) -when exciting D- and the second one ($\lambda^{ex} = 450$ nm, $\lambda^{em} = 644$ nm) comes from direct excitation of A. We hypothesized that this “double” emission is a direct consequence of NIEE effect; as we previously observed a marked blue shift (i.e. shift toward shorter wavelength) of emission for core-shell nanoparticles built from highly polarizable push-pull dyes.^[26] This phenomenon was attributed to the confinement of the energy transfer and emission at the interfacial layer between core and shell dyes. Note that the presence of solvent at the nano-interface is unlikely to explain the blue-shift of the emission of the core: i) this emission does not match with the solvatochromic behavior of the core dye^[23] and ii) the presence of an organic solvent within the nanoparticle is expected to favor dyes exchange and mobility,

resulting in the destabilization of the nanoparticle and, in turn, to poor colloidal stability. On the other hand, direct excitation of dFONs A ($\lambda^{ex} > 450$ nm) led to the excitation of all dyes A (i.e. not only those at the nanointerface with D). This collective response is therefore closer to the one of pure dFONs A (Table 1). These results give clues to decipher the real composition of dFONs A@D and confirm a layering of A by D, thus, confirming the core-shell architecture.

Taking advantage of the enhancement of the fluorescence quantum yield by NIEE, we performed two-photon absorption (2PA) measurements using the two-photon excited fluorescence technique on dFONs in water (Figure 3). 2PA revealed that dFONs A@D absorb on a broad spectral domain in the NIR (<700 nm up to >1.00 μ m) with its maximum at 730 nm and two sub-bands at 800 and 890 nm. As shown in Figure 3, the shape of the 2PA of dFONs A@D is close to dFONs A (same maximum and sub-band position). Yet the main absorption band in the range 700–800 nm is somewhat distended compared to dFONs A. Indeed, while the two-photon cross section (σ_2) from 800 nm to 1.0 μ m are the same for both dFONs A@D and A, dFONs A@D is a much better two-photon absorber below 800 nm ($\approx$ two folds).

It is worth noting that the use of sonication for the preparation of dFONs A not only affects the size of the nanoparticles but also the dipole-dipole interactions. Indeed, while the absorption and emission maximums are slightly different (reported values, $\lambda_{abs}^{max} = 458$ nm, and $\lambda_{em}^{max} = 661$ nm),^[23] the one and two-photon absorption are twice lower. This suggests that




Figure 3. Two-photon properties of dFONs in water. Overlay of the two-photon and one-photon absorption spectra of dyes in dFONs **D** A), **A** B) and **A@D** C) in water. Smooth functions are plotted as red lines to support reading of experimental data. Overlay of emission spectra of dFONs **A@D** measured by one- and two-photon excitation of **D** D) or **A** E). Note that full spectra are not available due to the presence of a shortpass filter to remove laser signal.

A adopts a configuration where the two dipoles are organized in an anti-parallel configuration, inducing an anti-cooperative effect, thus lowering the one and two-photon absorption. Remarkably, the two-photon absorption (2PA) spectrum of dFONs **D** exhibits a pronounced band below 800 nm (Figure 3), aligning well with the enhancement in the 2PA response observed with dFONs **A@D**. This alignment is consistent with the single-photon excitation spectrum presented in Figure 2. Further examination of the emission spectra, measured under two-photon excitation conditions (Figure 3D,E), revealed 2P bands where **D** strongly absorbs (e.g. $\lambda^{ex} = 750$ nm) or only **A** absorbs (e.g. $\lambda^{ex} > 920$ nm). Intriguingly, the emission profiles perfectly coincide with the Nano-Interface Enhanced Emission (NIEE) effect is maintained, indicating that the core-shell architecture is intact.

Considering that the efficiency of energy transfer depends on the sixth power of the distance (Förster) or the probability of orbital overlap (Dexter) between the donor and acceptor (here, **D** and **A**), and taking into account the extreme dilution of dFONs ($\approx$ nm range) with the low probability of a two-photon process, the occurrence of emission resulting from energy transfer between two nanoparticles (**A** and **D**), obtained by two-photon excitation, is at least unlikely if not impossible. This demonstrates that dFONs **A@D** constitute core-shell structures with highly efficient energy transfer from dye **D** to **A** (full vanishing of **D** fluorescence), resulting in intensely bright red fluorescent organic nanoparticles excitable in the visible ($\epsilon^{max}\Phi = 5 \times 10^6 M^{-1}\cdot cm^{-1}$) and in the NIR-I ($\sigma_2^{max}\Phi = 4 \times 10^5 GM$). It is worth noting that the brightness of the dFONs in the visible range is about two

orders of magnitude higher than that of commercially available dyes (e.g. $\epsilon^{max}\Phi = 7 \times 10^4$ and $5 \times 10^4 M^{-1}\cdot cm^{-1}$ for Alexa Fluor 488 and Alexa Fluor 700, respectively).^[19]

The colloidal and photophysical stabilities of the dFONs **A@D** over time are excellent (Figures S1 and S2, Supporting Information). After several years, both the absorption (Figure S2A, Supporting Information) and the emission (Figure S2B, Supporting Information) of the dFONs are well preserved. In addition, the NIEE effect is maintained, indicating that the core-shell architecture is intact.

These characteristics position dFONs **A@D** nanoparticles as promising candidates for an efficient combination with optogenetics in vivo. To this end, we injected dFONs in the neocortex of genetically engineered mice. First, we assessed the biocompatibility and stability of these molecular-based nanoparticles in the brain before testing their efficacy in mediating optogenetics.

2.3. In Vivo Experiments

2.3.1. Experimental Strategy for In Vivo Demonstration of dFONs Use

To assess the potency of dFONs for in vivo applications, we studied their efficacy in mediating optogenetic activation of neocortical neurons. The optogenetic strategy consisted in using a viral vector in order to infect neurons with engineered DNA coding for a light sensitive protein, an opsin. Opsin-expressing neurons




Figure 4. Stability and safety of dFONs A@D in vivo. **A.** Brain slices encompassing the dorsomedial prefrontal cortex (dmPFC). Left. Example epifluorescence microscopy picture for dFONs A@D localization in vivo at different magnifications. dFONs deposit is visible at the tip of the optrode track. Right. Corresponding example photograph for viral infection extent and drawing showing infection extent and optrode implantation sites in 4 animals, as well as high magnification parvalbumin interneurons fluorescence. **B.** Example fluorescence confocal microscopy picture for dFONs A@D (excitation 405 nm, single plane acquisition 550–650 nm) and TdTomato/Chrimson (excitation 552 nm, single plane acquisition 570–650 nm). Note two transduced PVIN showing bright red fluorescence (dotted circles and star, confirming efficient viral transfection). The colocalisation of dFONs A@D and TdTomato suggests an intracellular cytoplasmic concentration of the nanoparticles. **C.** dFONs A@D diffusion remains limited to the injection site after their injection. Bright field microscopy pictures for dFONs A@D diffusion at 1, 7 and 21 days post injection in dmPFC at whole hemisphere and dmPFC magnification levels, with fluorescence thresholding in red for dFONs emission. **D.** Expected photophysical properties of dFONs A@D are preserved in vivo. Absorption and emission spectra of dFONs A@D before (plain line) and after injection in dmPFC (dotted line). **E.** Patch clamp recording in vitro upon UV light with dFONs A@D injection. top. Cartoon representing the setup for acute brain slice patch clamp recording neuronal with acute dFONs A@D application and UV illumination of neurons infected with Chrimson opsin (visualized by orange dots). Bottom. Example of an inward current (depolarizing) during illumination showing that in presence of dFONs A@D, light pulse elicits a normal response in patched cells expressing the Chrimson opsin. **F.** Stable neuronal recordings following dFONs. Neuronal activity is maintained after dFONs A@D injection in vivo. Single unit recordings yield the same amount of neuron with and without dFONs A@D in dmPFC (t test, $t(6) = 0.133$, $p = 0.899$).

become sensitive to light, in a way that it is possible to manipulate their activity with specific wavelengths. Our preparation consisted of getting neocortical neurons to express both the Chrimson opsin which is sensitive to yellow-orange wavelengths and the TdTomato red fluorescent protein, serving as a visual reporter to confirm Chrimson expression (Figure 4). Chrimson opsin absorption band overlaps well with the emission spectra of dFONs A@D. In addition, it has a low absorptivity in the UV (i.e. 365–405 nm range) allowing for the selective excitation of dFONs A@D (UV or NIR-I) and Chrimson. Hence, we expect neurons to be excited by UV light only in the presence of dFONs and Chrimson. Since Chrimson spectrum is close to that of dFONs but also

distant from their excitation maximum, the positive control condition will consist of delivering yellow light to directly activate the opsin, together with two negative control conditions consisting in the delivery of UV or yellow light i) without the dFONs and ii) without both the dFONs and the opsin.

In order to evaluate dFONs A@D efficacy, stability and biocompatibility, the initial phase of this proof of concept aimed at demonstrating: i) the presence of dFONs in close proximity to Chrimson-expressing cells in cerebral tissue over several weeks following injection, ii) the stability of optical properties of dFONs once integrated into brain tissue, and iii) the preservation of physiological neuronal activity in the presence of dFONs.

2.3.2. In Vivo Stability of dFONS

The dFONS A@D were injected into the dorsomedial prefrontal cortex (dmPFC) of Parvalbumin-cre mice previously infected with a virus leading to the selective expression of Chrimson and its fluorescent reporter (tdTomato) in Parvalbumin -expressing interneurons (PVINs, Figure 4A). During the same surgery, we also implanted optrodes - optic fibers bearing recording electrodes- designed to deliver light and record from neuronal activity, respectively. Confocal microscopy imaging of the dmPFC confirmed the presence of dFONS up to three weeks post injection, notably at the cellular level, as confirmed by fluorescence in the emission band of dFONS, which could be separated from the emission of the tdTomato, inherent to the expression of Chrimson in PVIN (Figure 4A). To assess the temporal dimension of dFONS diffusion, we harvested brains from animals injected with dFONS at different time points following surgery. Overlay of fluorescence images in the dFONS emission wavelength with transmitted light microscopy showed that they remain concentrated within the limits of dmPFC and close to the injection site at least until 21 days after the surgery (Figure 4B).

To assess the stability of dFONS A@D photophysical properties, we measured their absorption and emission spectra on brain slices -around the soma of a neuron- by spectral imaging and compared them to prior-injection, native spectra displayed in Figure 2. In vivo spectra were found to be similar to absorption and emission spectroscopy measures (Figure 2), and the overlap of their fluorescence to the Chrimson absorption peak was adequate for further opsin stimulation (Figure 4C).

We then assessed the impact of dFONS on cell viability. To check that the presence of dFONS did not grossly impair the neuronal viability, brain slices with dmPFC PVINs expressing Chrimson were harvested for ex vivo patch clamp experiments. The activity of single neurons was recorded while dFONS were applied, and a consistent depolarization could be observed upon UV light illumination (Figure 4D). Moreover for in vivo electrophysiology experiments with implanted optrodes, the amount of neurons recorded in animals with and without dFONS were similar even after 21 days post injection (Figure 4E). The fine electrophysiological characteristics of neuronal spiking were found unchanged in all groups of animals (see supporting information, Figure 2). Additionally, dFONS injection, when compared to PBS injection, did not elicit any specific immunogenic response beside that of the injection process (see supporting information, Figure S6). Altogether, these results indicate that dFONS do not critically alter the density or activity of neurons and remain located in close range of the initial site of injection.

In order to evaluate the potency of dFONS A@D in mediating the optogenetic manipulation of neurons, we tested these nanoparticles in animals where neurons were rendered orange-light sensitive.

2.3.3. In Vivo Stimulation of Neurons

A@D dFONS were injected into the dmPFC of adult PV-cre mice, resulting in PVINs expressing Chrimson, and also implanted an optrode at the same location (Figure 5A). As illustrated in Figure 5B, PVIN have the property to inhibit neighboring dmPFC

principal neurons (PN). Therefore we expect an overall local reduction in the number of PN action potentials upon PVIN optogenetic stimulation. The activity of neurons was recorded under 585 or 405 nm illumination -corresponding to the selective excitation of Chrimson and dFONS A@D respectively- at various powers (Figure 5). The expected effect of direct excitation (i.e. 585 nm) is an instantaneous activation of PVIN, and a concurrent inhibition of PN, proportional to the intensity of the light. On the other hand, UV illumination is not expected to produce any effect on neurons, unless it is in the presence of dFONS (emitting yellow light) as exemplified in Figure 5C.

In the dFONS group, we observed a significant inhibition of PNs during both direct excitation at 585 nm and indirect excitation at 405 nm (Figure 5D). Analyzing increasing light intensities, effect at 405 nm was observed with power as low as 100 μ W, while it required 50 to 100 times more power to activate Chrimson without dFONS. A double control (no expression of Chrimson and absence of dFONS) showed unchanged neuronal activity at any light intensity and for both colors. In another control, individual compounds (A, D or both A and D) failed to elicit neuronal response to UV light applications, suggesting that the effect relies on the formulated dFONS A@D and not its individual components (see supporting information, Figure S3). In an attempt to assess the efficiency of our system, we calculated the ratio of dFONS-mediated (UV) over direct (Yellow) modulation of neurons (see supporting information, Figure S3B). This ratio is close to or above 100 percent for most light intensity, suggesting an efficient energy transfer from dFONS to Chrimson. Quantifying the actual magnitude of this energy transfer would be extremely beneficial for the optimization of this system. However from a practical standpoint, it is currently out of experimental reach to perform these measurements. In addition, dFONS presence also lowered the threshold for direct activation at 585 nm as PN firing was significantly reduced at 0.1 mW (0.5 mW without dFONS, Figure 5E) and more neurons were impacted at 0.1 and 0.5 mW compared to the condition without dFONS (Figure 5E,F).

Inhibition of PNs upon UV light suggests that i) dFONS-mediated optogenetics allow for a controlled activation of PVINs from UV light, and that ii) dFONS potentiate optogenetic activation of PVINs from yellow light (i.e. 585 nm). The latter observation is attributed to the excitation of the dFONS' core (via direct absorption of A), which is sufficiently bright to activate close-by opsins. This result underscores the potency of dFONS as tools to enhance the efficiency of opsin activation, providing access to lower irradiation thresholds for neuronal manipulations.

After showing the efficacy of dFONS A@D mediated optogenetics on single neurons, we tested its potency at the functional level by attempting to manipulate animals' behavior, more precisely that of fear expression.

2.3.4. In Vivo Closed-Loop Stimulation

We have previously demonstrated that during fear expression, dmPFC activity oscillates at a rhythm of 4 Hz^[30] and that PN activity is locked onto the ascending phase of this rhythm.^[5] In this study also, we were able to counteract fear by applying a close loop inhibition of PNs in the ascending phase of 4 Hz, by means of an optogenetic activation of PVINs, such as the one described




Figure 5. dFONS A@D efficacy in vivo. A). Cartoon of the experimental setup at different magnifications. B). Example of a monosynaptic inhibitory connection between a putative PVIN (PV16c) and a putative principal neuron (PN07a). Note that the presence of a peak before PV16c firing at $t = 0$ indicates that PN07a also excites PV16c reciprocally. C). Left. Raster plots for PV16c and PN07a during UV (top) and yellow light stimulation (bottom). Right. Peristimulus time histogram for PV16c and PN07a centered on light pulse onset for UV (top) and yellow illumination (bottom). D). Left. Example raster plots for a principal neuron (PN05b) under different light color and intensity (top: 0.1 mW; bottom: 2 mW). Right. corresponding peristimulus time histogram for PN05b centered on light pulse onset for UV (top) and yellow illumination (bottom). E). Normalized average firing rate during light pulses of increasing intensity for UV (left) and yellow illumination (right). (2way ANOVA, UV, F_1 : treatment, $F_1(2) = 120.9$; F_2 : Power, $F_2(5) = 8.5$; $F_1-2(10) = 5.4$, $p < 0.001$; Yellow, F_1 : treatment, $F_1(2) = 79.4$; F_2 : Power, $F_2(5) = 27.9$; $F_1-2(10) = 85.4$, $p < 0.001$; Bonferroni "post hoc" test. difference with control, $p < 0.05$. # difference with No dFONS, $p < 0.05$). F). Proportion of inhibited PNs as a function of light intensity in the presence or absence of dFONS A@D (left and right respectively, Chi-Square and Bonferroni multiple comparison with adjusted alpha = 0.001, difference between yellow and UV).

in the previous section. The goal here was to reproduce this optogenetic experiment, but this time with the mediation of dFONS A@D. To this end, we submitted mice to a classical model of Pavlovian fear conditioning (Figure 6A). First, PV-cre mice were injected in dmPFC with dFONS (or saline, no dFONS control group), a virus carrying Chrimson and tdTomato and were bilaterally implanted with optrodes (Figure 6B). For the fear conditioning paradigm, these animals learned to associate a previously neutral auditory stimulus (positive conditioned stimulus, CS+) with a mild electric foot shock (aversive unconditioned stimulus, US). A second neutral stimulus is presented but not associated with any outcome and serves as an internal control (negative conditioned stimulus, CS-). On test day, when exposed to the CS+ alone, animals display a fear response in the form of freezing, which is a temporary cessation of all motor activity but respiration. In animals that properly learned the CS+-US association, freezing is found to be typically increased for the exposition to the CS+, compared to CS-. In this context, the closed-loop stimulation consists in the online monitoring of both dmPFC brain waves and animal behaviour and as soon as we detect freezing and 4 Hz ascending phase, we apply UV light (405 nm) for the optogenetic activation of PVIN (Figure 6D), leading in turn to the global inhibition of PNs as shown in Figure 5.

Behavioral results show that the percentage of time spent freezing was significantly higher for the first block of CS+ than for the block of CS- (CS+ OFF, Figure 6F), indicating that both

test (dFONS) and control animals (no dFONS) were effectively conditioned. A second series of CS+ was subsequently played, but this time they were accompanied by closed-loop stimulation with UV light (CS+ ON). Pulse/phase analysis shows that light pulses successfully targeted the ascending phase of dmPFC 4 Hz brain waves in both dFONS and no dFONS groups (Figure 6D). In the dFONS group this phase specific inhibition of dmPFC resulted in a reduction of freezing to a level comparable to that of CS-, while it remained elevated in the control group (Figure 6E). Beyond standard optogenetics, this experiment demonstrate the ability of dFONS A@D to mediate behavioral manipulation and therefore be part of a comprehensive, functional neurostimulation toolbox.

3. Conclusion

We successfully prepared core-shell dFONS A@D by incorporating dye A in the core and dye D in the shell. Our study establishes that these molecular-based fluorescent nanoparticles exhibit efficient energy transfer from D to A. Plus, thanks to the NIEE effect, dFONS A@D have enhanced brightness compared to pure core dFONS A under both visible and near-infrared excitations. Remarkably, these nanoparticles exhibit inherent stability in water and in vivo, without requiring any adjunction of polymers nor exogenous surfactants.




Figure 6. Optogenetic control of fear behavior through excitation of Chrimson opsin from dFONs A@D. A). Cartoon depicting the protocol of pavlovian fear conditioning and test. B). Drawing of tdTomato-chrimson expression extent and optrode tip locations in all animals in the test group. C). Cartoon representing the principle of closed-loop stimulation for real-time inhibition of dmPFC, targeting fear biomarkers: the ascending phase of 4 Hz oscillations in dmPFC and freezing. D). Real time phase specific stimulation. Example trace showing dmPFC LFP and concurrent UV pulses delivered in the ascending phase of the ongoing 4 Hz oscillation. E). Phase histogram showing light pulse distribution along 4Hz phase for the dFONs A@D (purple line) and control group (black line, 2way ANOVA, $F_{1(19)} = 9.6$, $p < 0.001$; F_2 : treatment, $F_{2(1)} = 0.0$, $p = 1$; $F_{1 \times 2(19)} = 0.7$, $p = 0.8$). E. Effect of ascending phase stimulation on fear behavior. Histogram of freezing level during conditioned stimuli (CS) presentations. dFONs and control group show a significant increase in freezing level between CS- (neutral) and CS+ (fear conditioned), indicating successful learning. Phase specific stimulation during CS+ (CS+ ON) brings freezing level back to neutral level in the dFONs group while no effect was observed in the no dFONs control group which remains elevated (2way ANOVA; F_1 : treatment, $F_{1(1)} = 1.1$, $p = 0.3$; F_2 : epoch, $F_{2(2)} = 15.0$, $p < 0.001$; $F_{1 \times 2(2)} = 3.8$, $p < 0.15$; Bonferroni “post hoc” test. difference from CS-, $p < 0.05$).

We think that the long term stability of dFONs A@D within the brain reflects the intrinsic nature of nanoparticles surface: we previously reported that dFONs made of **D** show “stealth” behavior (i.e. do not have unspecific interactions with cell membranes) when incubated with Hela cancer cells.^[31] Such ability of **D** to escape from unspecific interactions was attributed to the elec-

trostatic interactions from polarizable dyes on surface of dFONs with serum proteins, thus forming a stable corona surrounding the nanoparticles. Ritz & al.,^[32] have studied the effects of protein corona on the uptake of nanoparticles and showed that the nature and the charge of surfactants used for polystyrene nanoparticles strongly influence the type of proteins composing the corona. Other research groups also addressed the influence of the surface chemistry and size of nanoparticles (organic, inorganic and metal-based) on the composition of proteins corona.^[33,34] We hypothesize that the presence of **D** dyes on the outer layer of dFONs A@D may contribute to the stability of these core-shell dFONs in situ thanks to the presence of a protein corona, limiting their uptake and degradation by cells. This hypothesis is supported by the stability experiments of the dFONs A@D in saline buffer (Figure S2E–H, Supporting Information): the presence of proteins (bovin serum albumin or BSA) promotes the colloidal stability, suggesting strong interactions between dFONs A@D and the BSA.

The strong brightness of these dFONs can be exploited for in vivo optogenetics experiments, enabling the activation of opsins with lower irradiation power thresholds compared to the direct excitation of opsins. This demonstrates that dFONs are bright enough to allow substantial activation of opsins despite using wavelengths strongly attenuated in the brain and injecting extremely diluted suspensions (concentration of injected dFONs $\approx$ nM range). This paves the route to a better and more efficient activation of Chrimson exploiting the ability of this dFONs to absorb pulsed NIR light: the large two-photon brightness ($\sigma_2 \Phi > 2 \times 10^5$ GM) of these nanoparticles in the NIR-I range (from 0.70 μ m to > 1.00 μ m) promises deeper excitation with potential transcranial neuron stimulation.^[35]

The main achievement of our study is the development of a molecular-based system that fulfills safety, stability and efficacy compatible with a clinical use. Our findings demonstrate the feasibility of using dFONs in vivo for closed-loop stimulation, with evidence of efficacy at the single cell (patch clamp), the network (in vivo electrophysiology) and the whole organism (behavior) levels. Yet, from a clinical standpoint, the use of UV light for 1P stimulation is far from optimal since it requires the implantation of intracranial optic fibers. Chronic UV exposure is also detrimental to cells since they cause photoaging and photocarcinogenesis. Hence, while UV and visible wavelengths are blocked or diffracted by biological tissues (skin, bone, white, and gray matter) NIR wavelengths present a much more favorable penetration profile. They could be used for extracranial, non invasive stimulation in combination with NIR to visible photoconverting nanoparticle. Recent studies have successfully achieved this in rodents^[9,10] but with inorganic compounds that are limited in colloidal stability, brightness and biocompatibility. Since all of the former are crucial and pre-requisite properties for clinical application consideration, the use of inorganic compounds in humans appears unlikely. Thus, an ideal system would combine NIR to visible upconversion properties with the safety and stability of the molecular-based approach implemented in the present study.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that surfactant-, metal- and polymer-free fluorescent organic nanoparticles are used for optogenetic manipulation of neurons and behavior. Our results underline that pure dye nanoparticles are

promising nano-tools for the enhancement and advancement of optogenetic applications.

4. Experimental Section

General Informations: Commercially available chemical compounds (purchased from Aldrich, TCI, Fluorochem or Alfa Aesar) were used without further purifications. DMF used for the Vilsmeier–Haack reaction was distilled and stored with activated molecular sieves (3 Å) before use. Spectroscopic grade tetrahydrofuran (THF) was used for the stocks solutions for dFONs preparation. Sonicator probe used for the preparation of dFONs was an ultrasonic processor (model GE 130), and the microsyringes used for the nanoprecipitation were 250 and 150 µL Hamilton syringes.

Synthesis of Dyes A and D: Dyes D and A were synthesized according to the synthesis described in the previous work.^[23]

Preparation of Dye Based Fluorescent Organic Nanoparticles (dFONs): dFONs A or D were prepared using the so-called nanoprecipitation method.^[29] In a 30 mL vial, 200 µL of a Stock solution of dye A (1 mM) or D (0.5 mM) in THF was quickly added in 20 mL of distilled water under sonication (10 W output). Instantaneously, the solution turns colored and the sonication was left for 3 min. The clear and colored solution was then allowed to cool down to room temperature, then used without further purifications.

Preparation of Core–Shell Dye Based Fluorescent Organic Nanoparticles (dFONs A@D): dFONs A@D were prepared by a two-step procedure: In a 30 mL vial, 200 µL of stock solution of dye A (1 mM in THF) was quickly added in 20 mL of distilled water under sonication (10 W output) for 3 min. The clear and reddish solution was left to cool down at room temperature. dFONs of 10 mL A solution were collected and 100 µL of a stock solution of dye D (0.5 mM in THF) were added dropwise (1 drop / 5 sec) with strong magnetic stirring (600–800 rpm) for 10 min.

Electronic Microscopy: Size of the dFONs A and dFONs A@D were measured using transmission electronic microscopy (TEM) at Bordeaux Imaging Center (BIC) facility. TEM images were acquired on a Hitachi H7650 at 80 kV. dFONs were deposited on copper grid previously charged (+) by Glow discharge technique. A drop of dFONs was left on the charged grid for 1 min, and the excess of liquid was gently removed with a paper by capillarity. Then, a drop of aqueous uranyl acetate was added on the dFONs stained grid for 3 min. Afterward, the excess of liquid was gently removed with a paper by capillarity. The size distribution was determined by manual counting of dFONs (N = 517 for dFONs A and N = 434 for dFONs A@D) using ImageJ software. A binning of 5 was used for the generation of histograms.

Photophysical Properties of dFONs: All linear and non-linear photophysical properties were measured at NanoMultiPhot platform (ISM, University of Bordeaux). Absorption measurements were performed on V670 UV–vis spectrophotometer (JASCO) at room temperature with 1 cm quartz cuvettes. Fluorescence measurement were conducted on diluted solution (as such the maximum of absorbance was in the range 0.05–0.10) using a FluoroLog3 (Horiba) and 1 cm (four faces) quartz cuvettes. Fluorescence quantum yields (Φ_f) were calculated using Equation (1).

$$\Phi_f = \Phi_{f,ref} * \frac{\int Fluo.int}{\int Fluo.int_{ref}} * \frac{1 - 10^{-A_{ref}}}{1 - 10^{-A}} * \left(\frac{n}{n_{ref}} \right)^2 \quad (1)$$

Equation 1. Calculation of fluorescence quantum yield Φ_f .

where, $\int Fluo.int$ is the integral of the fluorescence intensity, A is the absorbance at excitation wavelength, n is the refractive index of the solvent and ref the standard. Fluorescence lifetime measurements were done on a FLS1000 (Edinburgh Instruments) using a NanoLed-370 with Controller NL-C2 (both Horiba) and an EPL-510 (Edinburgh Instruments) for excitation at 370 and 504 nm respectively. Aqueous solution of Ludox (30% in water, Sigma–Aldrich) was used for instrument correction and deconvolution.

Two-photon absorption measurements were performed on aqueous dFONs suspensions (C = 10 µM for dFONs A, A@D and 5 µM for dFONs D) using fluorescein in aqueous NaOH (C = 10 µM, pH 11.0)^[36,37] as two-photon reference. The two-photon absorption was measured using the well-established method described by Xu and Webb^[37]; briefly two-photon absorptions were measured using the Two-Photon Excited Fluorescence technique (i.e. TPEF). To do so, an Ultra II (Coherent) femtosecond pulsed laser (repetition rate: 80 MHz, pulsed width: 150 fs) was focalized on dFONs or fluorescein solutions using an air Olympus objective (10x, 0.25 NA) under magnetic stirring. Excitation wavelength was tuned in the range 700–1000 nm. The 1 cm quartz cuvette was set in order to have the fluorescence generated on the close vicinity of the wall of the cuvette to limits the reabsorption of fluorescence. The fluorescence was then collected in epifluorescence and filtered from back-scattered laser using a dichroic mirror (675dcxr, Chroma) and finally send to a fast spectrophotometer (MayaPro, OceanInsight) by the mean of an optical fiber. The power of the laser was tuned using a polarizer at Brewster's angle (142013, Layertec) in tandem with a rotating halfwave plate. The power was measured in real time by sending a part of the laser beam on a silicon photodiode (S142CL with power meter interface PM101U, both from Thorlabs) by the means of a beam splitter (UFB5S050, Thorlabs). Quadraticity of the TPEF signals was measured for each excitation wavelength checking the quadratic dependence of fluorescence to the laser power (data available in the supporting information, Figure S1). The full programs used for TPEF measurements and analysis were available on github.^[38] The two-photon brightness ($\sigma_2\Phi$) of the dFONs was calculated using Equation (2).

$$\sigma_2\Phi_f = (\sigma_2\Phi_{f,ref}) * \left(\frac{n}{n_{ref}} \right)^2 * \frac{C_{ref}}{C} * \frac{\int Fluo.int}{P^2} * \frac{P_{ref}^2}{\int Fluo.int_{ref}} * \frac{\eta_{ref}}{\eta} \quad (2)$$

Equation 2. Calculation of two-photon brightness, $\sigma_2\Phi_f$.

Where n is the refractive index of the solvent, C the concentration, P the laser power, $\int Fluo.int$ the fluorescence intensity and η the spectral correction of the dFONs and reference (i.e., ref.). For dFONs A@D the concentration used for the calculation of $\sigma_2\Phi_f$ was set to the concentration of the emitter (i.e. dye A).

Origin, Housing and Ethical Approval for Animal Experimentation: Wild type male and female C57BL6/J (11–18 weeks old, Janvier), and Parvalbumin-cre (11–18 weeks old, Janvier) mice were housed in rooms of controlled temperature and humidity with a 12 h light-dark cycle and provided with food and water ad libitum. Mice were housed individually starting from the day of the first surgery. All procedures were performed per standard ethical guidelines (European Communities Directive 86/609-EEC) and were approved by the Animal Health and Care committee of Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale and French Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry (agreement A33-063-099).

Surgery: Parvalbumin (PV): A calcium-binding protein specifically expressed in a class of interneuron. These neurons exert a powerful and widespread inhibitory control on cortical pyramidal, principal neurons and are therefore an efficient vector to inhibit neuronal populations with a fine temporal precision. PV-cre mice underwent one or two surgeries (1. viral infection; 2. dFONs injection and optrode implantation) while wild type animals (age 8–11 weeks) were only subjected to dFONs injections. For the viral infection surgical procedure, PV-cre mice were anesthetized with isoflurane (Vetflurane, from Virbac, induction 5%, maintenance 1.5% in air. Body temperature was maintained at 37°C with a temperature controller system (FHC) and eyes were hydrated with an ocular moisturizing gel (Ocry-gel, from TVMlab). Analgesia was ensured by subcutaneous Meloxicam administration (Metacam, Boehringer Ingelheim, 4 mg.kg⁻¹). Mice were secured in a stereotaxic frame (Kopf Instruments). The skin overlying the skull was shaved, cleaned, disinfected with povidone-iodine (Betadine). e) and infiltrated with lidocaine for local anesthesia (Lidor from Axience, 40 mg.kg⁻¹ in 0.1 mL). The skin was opened to expose the skull and craniotomies were drilled over the prefrontal cortex. A cre-dependent adeno associated virus carrying the Chrimson sequence

(AAV5-SYN-flex-Chrimson-tdTomato, titer 1.1×10^{13} U.mL $^{-1}$) loaded in glass pipettes (end diameter 40 to 50 micrometers) was injected. pAAV-Syn-FLEX-rc[ChrimsonR-tdTomato] was a gift from Edward Boyden (Addgene plasmid # 62723). The viral preparation (180 nL) was delivered using a custom manual air pumping system or a one-axis hydraulic micromanipulator (Narishige, MO-10). During the surgery, pipettes were lowered into the prefrontal cortex according to coordinates relative to the bregma and brain surface (2.0 mm anterior to the bregma, 0.4 mm lateral to midline and 1.2 to 1.4 mm ventral to the cortical surface). Once the target coordinates were reached, a waiting time of 5 min was respected before injecting the solution at a controlled flow under 60 nL.min $^{-1}$. Once the injection complete, pipettes were left in place for 5 additional minutes before slow removal to prevent viral spread. After this surgery, mice were resting until awake in their homecage, kept at 37°C. Mice were allowed to recover under close monitoring for 7 days minimum, and were housed without any further intervention for 3 weeks in order to achieve significant Chrimson/tdTomato expression. A subset of animals then entered the ex vivo patch clamp experiment track (see below) while a second subset entered in the in vivo track and were also submitted to the following procedure.

The dFON injection and/or optrode implantation surgery was carried out under the same anaesthesia and pain management methodology as above. PV-cre and wild type animals both received a prefrontal injection of photoactive nanoparticles in a 1000 nL volume in similar pipettes and with similar stereotaxic coordinates as above. PV-cre animals were also implanted in the prefrontal cortex with an optrode (optic fiber + microelectrodes; see the optrode fabrication section below). This implant was secured using Super-Bond cement (Sun Medical) and polyacrylate glue. After this surgery, mice were left to rest until awake in their heated homecage. P-VCre mice were allowed to recover under close monitoring for 7 days minimum, before being habituated to gentle handling and enter further in vivo experimental procedures. Wild type mice were used in histological analysis for which they were sacrificed at 1, 7 or 21 day following the surgical procedure (see perfusion and histology section below).

Optrode Fabrication: Optrode consisted of microelectrodes affixed to an optic fiber designed for the recording of neurophysiological signals and light delivery, respectively. Fiber optic implants were either built in lab from zirconium ferrules (Prizmatix) and quartz optic fibers (Thorlabs, NA = 0.5 to accommodate UV transmission) solidarized with epoxy glue, cut at the desired length with a diamond cutter and mechanically polished, or purchased (Prizmatix). All fibers had 1.25 mm diameter ferrules with a 200 μ m diameter fiber (core and cladding), the latter protruding at a consistent length from the cannula (usually, at 2 mm + depth of the target brain area from brain surface, $\approx$ 3–4 mm total for prefrontal cortex fibers). All optic fibers were checked for light transmission using an optical power meter (Thorlabs), and fibers with a transmission out of the 65–85% range were rejected.

The recording electrodes were made of 32 individually insulated nichrome wires (13 μ m inner diameter) with a matched impedance of 65–75 k Ω for bundle, and 140–150 k Ω for tetrodes (adjusted by electroplating in a solution of gold nanoparticles and carbon nanotubes) configurations. Tetrodes were built using a custom system involving a magnetic stirrer and a heat gun to join the 4 wires forming it through their fused insulation. The ends of the electrodes were attached to the extremity of a 200 μ m diameter optic fiber using polyacrylate glue and sucrose water on the portion meant to enter the brain tissue, to reduce chemical foreign body reaction. The electrodes were protruding from the end of the fiber in order to record from neurons encompassed in the cone of light, that is at distances affected by local optogenetic effects in the literature. The wires were attached to two 18-pin connectors (Omnetics) or a single 36-pin connector (Omnetics).

Ex Vivo Patch Clamp Experiments: Wild type mice were used at the age of 4–9 weeks. The extracellular artificial cerebro-spinal fluid (ACSF) solution utilized for slice recordings was composed of: 119 mM NaCl; 2.5 mM KCl; 1 mM MgCl $_2$; 2 mM CaCl $_2$; 10 mM glucose; 1 mM NaH $_2$ PO $_4$; 26 mM NaHCO $_3$. The cutting solution was an ice-cold sucrose solution (1–4°C) composed of 2 mM KCl; 2.6 mM NaHCO $_3$; 1.15 mM NaH $_2$ PO $_4$; 10 mM glucose; 120 mM sucrose; 0.2 mM CaCl $_2$ and 6 mM MgCl $_2$. Both solutions were oxygenated with carbogen (95% O $_2$, 5% CO $_2$, pH 7.4 at 37°C,

290–310 mOsm.L $^{-1}$). For brain dissection, mice were anesthetized with 5% isoflurane for 2 min before decapitation. The head was immersed in the iced sucrose solution. The removed brain was immersed for 4 min in iced oxygenated sucrose solution and then placed on a cellulose nitrate membrane to separate and position the hemispheres in the vibratome (Leica VT1200s) to obtain 320 μ m coronal slices (cutting speed of 0.12 mm.s $^{-1}$). Once produced, slices were semi-immersed in a dedicated incubation chamber, oxygenated and maintained at 35°C for at least 2 h before starting the recordings. Recordings were made in an S-shaped recording chamber, maximizing oxygenation while preventing slice movement caused by the 2 mL.min $^{-1}$ perfusion flow. Whole cell patch clamp recordings were obtained using borosilicate glass micropipettes (4 to 6 megaohms) stretched with a PC-10 (Narishige, Japan). The pipettes were filled with an intracellular solution containing (in mM): 125 CsMeSO $_3$, 2 MgCl $_2$, 1 CaCl $_2$, 10 EGTA, 10 Hepes, 4 Na $_2$ -ATP, 0.4 Na-GTP. Electrophysiological recordings were obtained using a MultiClamp 700B (Molecular Devices, Foster City, CA) with Clampex 10.7 software (Molecular Devices, Foster City, CA). A second pipette was loaded with dFONs solution in order to add the nanoparticles to the slice and test their influence on UV light stimulation of Chrimson.

Light Sources and Delivery: Implanted cannulae were adapted to a simple or two ends optic fiber for single-hemisphere or dual-hemisphere light delivery (Doric/Thorlabs, or Prizmatix) through a zirconium mating sleeve (Prizmatix). This fiber was connected to a patch cord fiber (Thorlabs or Prizmatix) through a rotary joint (Thorlabs or Prizmatix). This patch cord fiber was finally linked to the light source, which was either a LASER (473 nm [blue], 532nm [green]) or an LED (Dual-FC-LED 405 nm max, [UV], 460 nm max, [blue] 545 nm max; FC-LED-green 585 nm max; FC-LED-yellow 655 nm max; FC-LED-deep red, Prizmatix). A pulse generator (Master 9, AMPI) was parametered to generate direct current to control the activation of the LASER or diode light source through a BNC coaxial cable. Generator pulse currents were collected on the recording setup to keep track of the beginning and end of stimulation timestamps. For activity modulation depending on injected power and color, the stimulation was set to 100 ms duration at 1Hz.

Fear Conditioning Paradigm and Behavioral Analysis: Auditory fear conditioning and testing took place in two different contexts (context A and B). The conditioning and testing boxes (IMETRONIC) were cleaned with 70% ethanol and 1% acetic acid before and after each session, respectively. On day 1, mice were submitted to a habituation session in context A, in which they received four presentations of the CS+ and of the CS- (total CS duration, 30 s; consisting of 50-ms pips at 0.9 Hz repeated 27 times, 2 ms rise and fall, pip frequency, 7.5 kHz or white-noise, 80 dB sound pressure level). Discriminative fear conditioning was performed on the same day by pairing the CS+ with a US (1 s foot-shock, 0.6 mA, 5 CS+–US pairings, inter-trial intervals, 20–180 s). The onset of the US coincided with the offset of the CS+. The CS- was presented after each CS+–US association but was never reinforced (five CS- presentations; inter-trial intervals, 20–180 s). On day 2, conditioned mice were submitted to a testing session (Retrieval session) in context B during which they received 4 and 12 presentations of the CS- and CS+, respectively, while being filmed and having their dmPFC electrophysiological activity recorded (Neuronal System Processor, Blackrock Microsystems). For optogenetic manipulations of behavior, light stimulation was triggered by the closed-loop system (see closed-loop stimulation section below) in the second 4-CS+ block (From the 5th to the 8th presentation of the CS+ during retrieval), in order to compare the behavior to CS+ presented without light (CS+OFF, 1st to 4th CS+) to those with light (CS+ON, 5th to 8th CS+). All sessions were systematically recorded by an infrared camera (30Hz sampling rate) coupled to a video tracking system returning the instantaneous position of the animal (NeuroMotive, Blackrock Neurotech). From this, the instantaneous speed of the animal was derived. Freezing behavior with a custom Matlab script designed to quantify the moment-to-moment amount of locomotion of the animals was scored. Mice were considered to be freezing if no movement was detected for 2 s.

Electrophysiological Recordings: Electrodes connectors were plugged in to two 16-channels or a single 32-channels preamplifiers and digitized at 30 kHz (Blackrock Microsystems). For single neuron spike sorting, signal

was bandpass filtered from 250 Hz to 5 kHz, and spikes were detected by time-amplitude window discrimination using the Neuronal Signal Processor (Blackrock Microsystems). For local field potentials, signal was bandpass filtered from 0.5 to 250 Hz and downsampled to 1 kHz using the Neuronal Signal Processor (Blackrock Microsystems).

Single-Unit Analyses: Single-unit spike sorting was performed using Off-Line Spike Sorter (Plexon) for all behavioral sessions. Principal-component scores were calculated for unsorted waveforms and plotted in a 3D principal-component space. Clusters containing similar valid waveforms were manually defined. A group of waveforms was considered to be generated from a single neuron if the waveforms formed a discrete, isolated cluster in the principal-component space and did not contain a refractory period less than 2 ms, as assessed using auto-correlogram analysis. To avoid analysis of the same neuron recorded on different channels, cross-correlation histograms were computed. If a target neuron presented a peak of activity at a time that the reference neuron fired, only one of the two units was considered for further analysis. To separate putative inhibitory interneurons (INs) from putative excitatory principal neurons (PNs) three dimensions: spike half-width, spike area under the curve, and firing rate were considered. This 3D space was then clustered with a k-means method. Units with a short wave form and a high firing rate were classified as putative INs, while units with a longer waveform and a lower firing rate were classified as putative PNs (see Supporting Information, Figure 2B). This approach was complemented by optogenetic photo tagging, with PNs having to present an absence of excitation or an inhibition at the time of PVINs light-driven excitation.

LFP 4Hz Phase Analysis: Local field potentials were analyzed using custom-written Matlab programs. For phase analysis, the signal was filtered in the 2–6 Hz frequency band (4 Hz brain waves) and the complex-valued analytic signal was calculated using the Hilbert transform.

The vector length and the arctangent of the vector angle provide the estimation of the instantaneous phase of the signal, respectively, at every time point. A phase of 0° corresponds to the peak of prefrontal waves.

Closed-Loop Stimulation: To apply the stimulation in a functionally specific manner, optogenetic inhibition of PNs (through stimulation of PVINs) as a function of the phase (ascending or descending) of the ongoing 4 Hz oscillation in dmPFC LTP was performed. To that end, a closed-loop stimulation protocol where animal behavior (freezing) and dmPFC LFP (4 Hz power and phase) were monitored online and simultaneously analyzed to drive the stimulation, was designed. Animal position was sampled at 30 Hz and LFP at 1 KHz, then uploaded every 30 ms in Matlab for online analysis. This analysis consisted in 1/ quantifying animal speed in the last 2000 ms and processing the LFP signal to retrieve instantaneous 4 Hz power and phase. Animal speed was calculated as the average distance traveled over the last 2000 ms time window and compared with the freezing threshold. Bandpass filtering was applied using a second-order Butterworth filter and Hilbert transform was used to estimate both 4 Hz power in the last 500 ms and LFP instantaneous phase for the last retrieved data point. From there, three criteria were defined for the most recent 30 ms upload in order to trigger the stimulation the stimulation. 1/ animal speed was below freezing threshold for at least 2000 ms, 2/ 4 Hz power was above that of baseline level and 3/ 4 Hz phase was encompassed within the range of choice (0 – 180°). Shall the three criteria be met, then stimulation was triggered. The stimulation consisted of a 1 ms pulse sent from Matlab to a pulse generator (Master 9, AMPI) that in turn sent a 30 ms pulse to the LASER or diode generating the light for optical stimulation to the dmPFC. The whole computation from neuronal data read to LASER onset was achieved in 30 ms maximum (data retrieval 10 ms, computation 5–20 ms). Hence, at the end of the 30 ms light pulse, a new analysis loop was completed on the most recent 30 ms upload and shall the three criteria be met then a new stimulation pulse was triggered.

Perfusion and Histological Sample Preparation: All Mice were administered a lethal dose of pentobarbital with lidocaine (Exagon® from Richter Pharma, 30 mg.kg⁻¹, and Lidor from Axience, 30 mg.kg⁻¹, in 0.3 mL) via intraperitoneal injection and underwent transcardiac perfusion in the left ventricle of 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA, 4% mass/volume) in phosphate buffer saline (PBS, 0.1 M). In electrode-implanted PV-cre animals, elec-

trolytic lesions of 10 µA were applied for 5 s using a high current stimulus isolator (World Precision Instruments) to identify electrode bundle tip location prior to PFA perfusion. Following dissection, brains were post-fixed for 24 h at 4 °C in 4% PFA before being transferred to PBS with sodium azide buffer (0.02% mass per volume), and kept at 4 °C until histological processing. Coronal brain sections of 50 or 70 µm antero-posterior thickness (for immunohistochemistry or implantation and viral infection verification alone, respectively) were cut on a vibratome (Leica VT 1200S), mounted on microscope slides in VectaShield DAPI-loaded mounting medium (Vector Laboratories) and imaged using an epifluorescence system (Leica DM 5000) or a confocal microscope.

Brain sections Imaging: Images were acquired using a Leica SP8 confocal microscope operated with LAS X software, through a 40x NA 1.3 oil objective (Leica HCPL APOCS2). Pinhole was set to ≈ 1 airy and adjusted to preserve a constant optical slice thickness across channels (1.04 µm). dFONs were visualized using a 405 nm emitting laser for excitation and a hybrid detector with a 550–650 nm acquisition window. tdTomato (fused with Chrimson) was visualized using a 552 nm emitting laser for excitation and a PMT with a 570–650 nm acquisition window. Cross-talk was prevented by sequential acquisition of the two channels and by using a 405 nm excitation which is in theory out of the tdTomato excitation spectrum. Only linear adjustments were applied to the entire images for the creation of figures.

Statistical Analyses: For each statistical analysis provided in the manuscript, the Kolmogorov–Smirnov normality test was first performed on the data to determine whether parametric or non-parametric tests were required. Two different approaches were used to calculate the sample size. For studies with sufficient information on response variables and expected effect, such as PSTH and behavior, power analyses were performed to determine the number of mice needed. For studies in which the effect of the variables could not be pre-specified, as cell counting in histology, a sequential stopping rule was used. In essence this method enables null-hypothesis tests to be used in sequential stages, by analyzing the data at several experimental points using the appropriate tests. Usually the experiment started by testing only a few animals until a mechanistically relevant parameter was significant. This parameter was then pre-defined and incorporated in the null hypothesis for a significant effect, and applied to replicates of the same experiments with appropriate controls. Sample size determination using sequential stopping rule analyses were used for histological counts analyses where the effect could not be predicted based on previous experiments completed by the team. Using this strategy, a value of n comprising between 4 and 5 animals per group was obtained. The groups were blinded and shuffled virus-wise (opsin vs reporter alone) and compound-wise (nanoparticles vs PBS alone).

Supporting Information

Supporting Information is available from the Wiley Online Library or from the author.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Airtton Sinott Carvalho for his help setting up immunofluorescence protocols. This work was supported by the French National Research Agency (program NEONS, ANR-22-CE18-0035-02). J.L. and E.K. acknowledge the financial support of University of Bordeaux for their PhD grants. This study received financial support from the french government in the framework of the University of Bordeaux's IdEx "Investments for the Future" program / GPR BRAIN_2030. Confocal and electronic microscopy was performed at the Bordeaux Imaging Center, a service unit of the CNRS-INSERM and Bordeaux University, member of the national infrastructure France Biolmaging supported by the French National Research Agency (ANR-10-INBS-04).

[Correction added on (17 September, 2024), after first online publication: Table 1 has been updated.]

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available in the supplementary material of this article.

Keywords

closed-loop, fluorescence, neurostimulation, optogenetic, organic nanoparticles, two-photon absorption

Received: June 10, 2024

Revised: August 2, 2024

Published online: September 12, 2024

- [1] K. S. Choi, P. Riva-Posse, R. E. Gross, H. S. Mayberg, *JAMA Neurology* **2015**, 72, 1252.
- [2] L. Mallet, M. Polosan, N. Jaafari, N. Baup, M.-L. Welter, D. Fontaine, S. T. d. Montcel, J. Yelnik, I. Chéreau, C. Arbus, S. Raoul, B. Aouizerate, P. Damier, S. Chabardès, V. Czernecki, C. Ardouin, M.-O. Krebs, E. Bardin, P. Chaynes, P. Burbaud, P. Cornu, P. Derost, T. Bougerol, B. Bataille, V. Mattei, D. Dormont, B. Devaux, M. Vérin, J.-L. Houeto, P. Pollak, et al., *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2008**, 359, 2121.
- [3] N. Kubis, *Front. Neural Circuits* **2016**, 10, 56.
- [4] M. Sprengers, K. Vonck, E. Carrette, A. G. Marson, P. Boon, *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* **2017**, 7, CD008497.
- [5] C. Dejean, J. Courtin, N. Karalis, F. Chaudun, H. Wurtz, T. C. M. Bienvenu, C. Herry, *Nature* **2016**, 535, 420.
- [6] J. T. Paz, T. J. Davidson, E. S. Frechette, B. Delord, I. Parada, K. Peng, K. Deisseroth, J. R. Huguenard, *Nat. Neurosci.* **2013**, 16, 64.
- [7] H. Cagnan, T. Denison, C. McIntyre, P. Brown, *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2019**, 37, 1024.
- [8] E. Krook-Magnuson, J. N. Gelinas, I. Soltesz, G. Buzsáki, *JAMA Neurology* **2015**, 72, 823.
- [9] X. Liu, H. Chen, Y. Wang, Y. Si, H. Zhang, X. Li, Z. Zhang, B. Yan, S. Jiang, F. Wang, S. Weng, W. Xu, D. Zhao, J. Zhang, F. Zhang, *Nat. Commun.* **2021**, 12, 5662.
- [10] S. Chen, A. Z. Weiternier, X. Zeng, L. He, X. Wang, Y. Tao, A. J. Y. Huang, Y. Hashimoto, M. Kano, H. Iwasaki, L. K. Parajuli, S. Okabe, D. B. L. Teh, A. H. All, I. Tsutsui-Kimura, K. F. Tanaka, X. Liu, T. J. McHugh, *Science* **2018**, 359, 679.
- [11] V. Bastos, P. Oskoei, E. Andresen, M. I. Saleh, B. Rühle, U. Resch-Genger, H. Oliveira, *Sci. Rep.* **2022**, 12, 3770.
- [12] A. H. Ashoka, I. O. Aparin, A. Reisch, A. S. Klymchenko, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2023**, 52, 4525.
- [13] A. Reisch, A. S. Klymchenko, *Small* **2016**, 12, 1961.
- [14] C. Gazon, J. Rieger, R. Méallet-Renault, B. Charleux, G. Clavier, *Macromolecules* **2013**, 46, 5167.
- [15] Y. Chen, J. W. Y. Lam, R. T. K. Kwok, B. Liu, B. Z. Tang, *Mater. Horiz.* **2019**, 6, 428.
- [16] S. Fery-Forgues, *Nanoscale* **2013**, 5, 8428.
- [17] J. Daniel, O. D. Pra, E. Kurek, C. Gazon, M. Blanchard-Desce, *Comptes Rendus. Chimie* **2024**, 27, 179.
- [18] M. Rosendale, J. Flores, C. Paviolo, P. Pagano, J. Daniel, J. Ferreira, J. B. Verlhac, L. Groc, L. Cognet, M. Blanchard-Desce, *Adv. Mater.* **2021**, 33, 2006644.
- [19] J. Daniel, A. Godin, M. Palayret, B. Lounis, L. Cognet, M. Blanchard-Desce, *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* **2016**, 49, 084002.
- [20] M. Kasha, H. R. Rawls, M. A. El-Bayoumi, *Pure Appl. Chem.* **1965**, 11, 371.
- [21] A. Faucon, R. Lenk, J. Héméz, E. Gautron, D. Jacquemin, J.-Y. Le Questel, J. Graton, A. Brosseau, E. Ishow, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2013**, 15, 12748.
- [22] M. Broser, *Front. Mol. Biosci.* **2022**, 8, 806922.
- [23] J.-B. Verlhac, J. Daniel, P. Pagano, G. Clermont, M. Blanchard-Desce, *C. R. Chim.* **2016**, 19, 28.
- [24] G. A. Blab, P. H. Lommerse, L. Cognet, G. S. Harms, T. Schmidt, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2001**, 350, 71.
- [25] M. Drobnizhev, S. Tillo, N. S. Makarov, T. E. Hughes, A. Rebane, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2009**, 113, 855.
- [26] E. Campioli, C. Rouxel, M. Campanini, L. Nasi, M. Blanchard-Desce, F. Terenziani, *Small* **2013**, 9, 1982.
- [27] C. Mastrodonato, P. Pagano, J. Daniel, M. Vaultier, M. Blanchard-Desce, *Molecules* **2016**, 21, 1227.
- [28] J. Daniel, A. Godin, G. Clermont, B. Lounis, L. Cognet, M. Blanchard-Desce, *Progress in Biomedical Optics and Imaging - Proceedings of SPIE* **2015**, 9523, 114.
- [29] D. Horn, J. Rieger, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2001**, 40, 4330.
- [30] N. Karalis, C. Dejean, F. Chaudun, S. Khoder, R. R. Rozeske, H. Wurtz, S. Bagur, K. Benchenane, A. Sirota, J. Courtin, C. Herry, *Nat. Neurosci.* **2016**, 19, 605.
- [31] M. Rosendale, G. Clermont, J. Daniel, C. Paviolo, L. Cognet, J.-B. Verlhac, M. Blanchard-Desce, *Neurophotonics - Proceedings of SPIE* **2020**, 11360, 1136005.
- [32] S. Ritz, S. Schöttler, N. Kotman, G. Baier, A. Musyanovych, J. Kuharev, K. Landfester, H. Schild, O. Jahn, S. Tenzer, V. Mailänder, *Biomacromolecules* **2015**, 16, 1311.
- [33] M. Mahmoudi, M. P. Landry, A. Moore, R. Coreas, *Nat. Rev. Mater.* **2023**, 8, 422.
- [34] R. L. Pinals, L. Chio, F. Ledesma, M. P. Landry, *Analyst* **2020**, 145, 5090.
- [35] S. Golovynskyi, I. Golovynska, L. I. Stepanova, O. I. Datsenko, L. Liu, J. Qu, T. Y. Ohulchanskyy, *J. Biophotonics* **2018**, 11, 201800141.
- [36] M. A. Albota, C. Xu, W. W. Webb, *Appl. Opt.* **1998**, 37, 7352.
- [37] C. Xu, W. W. Webb, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B* **1996**, 13, 481.
- [38] J. Daniel, <https://github.com/LAGONteam/PyTwoPhotonExcitedFluorescence> (accessed: March, 2022).